STATE OF WASHINGTON Albert D. Rosellini, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

Earl Coe, Director

DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

Murray G. Walker, Supervisor

Water Supply Bulletin No. II

A Preliminary Report on the Geology and Ground-Water Resources of the Sequim-Dungeness Area Clallam County, Washington

By John B. Noble



Olympia, Washington 1960



STATE OF WASHINGTON Albert D. Rosellini, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

Earl Coe, Director

DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

Murray G. Walker, Supervisor

Water Supply Bullstin No...II

A Preliminary Report on the

Geology and Ground-Water Resources of the

Sequim-Dungeness Area Clallam County, Washington

> By John B. Noble

Olympia, Washington

1960

Price 50 cents, Division of Water Resources, Olympia, Wn.

FOREWORD

Since the turn of the century, the Sequim-Dungeness area has experienced a gradual transition from an economy based primarily upon lumbering and fishing to one of agricultural products produced on irrigated lands.

Naturally occurring surface-water supplies in the area, like most of Western Washington, are not in phase with agricultural demand; and as a result, the total amount of land that can be placed under Irrigation is limited and coincides with minimum flows that occur in the area's streams. If the Sequim-Dungeness area is to continue to expand its agricultural economy, there must be additional water supplies made available through improved water use practices and development of additional water sources. The data presented herein have an economic value and were compiled for the purpose of assisting those associated with water resources development in making a complete and beneficial use of the total water supply of the area. Everything possible has been done to assure the completeness and accuracy of these data.

I respectfully submit herewith "A Preliminary Report on the Geology and Ground-Water Resources of the Sequim-Dungeness Area, Clallam County, Washington," by John B. Noble.

Robert H. Russell Assistant Supervisor Division of Water Resources

CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	
Introduction	-
Purpose and scope of investigation	2
Location and extent of area	_
Acknowledgments and previous investigations	3
Well-numbering system	3
Geography	4
Topography and drainage	
Climate	
Culture	5
Geology	
Geologic history	5
Ground Water	8
Occurrence	8
Ground-water movement	,
Recharge and discharge	
Areas where additional ground water is available	
Areas deficient in ground water	
Chemical quality	
Conclusion	
Explanation of plates and tables	
List of references	15
TABLES	
Table 1. Wells used for recording static water level during	
summer of 1960	10
Partial chemical analyses of randomly sampled well waters	-*
3. Records of water wells	
4. Logs of wells	

CONTENTS

PLATES

Plates 1-3 in pocket

Plate 1. Well location and water table contour map of Sequim-Dungeness area.

2. Geologic map of the Sequim-Dungeness area.

3. Geologic cross sections of the Sequim-Dungeness area.

A PRELIMINARY REPORT

ON THE

GEOLOGY AND GROUND-WATER RESOURCES

OF THE

SEQUIM-DUNGENESS AREA,

CLALLAM COUNTY, WASHINGTON

By John B. Noble

ABSTRACT

The Sequim-Dungeness area in the northeastern part of the Olympic Peninsula is composed chiefly of glacial outwash material graded from the Olympic Mountains to the Strait of Juan De Fuca. The area is in a rain shadow which allows an annual average precipitation of only 16.92 inches, but extensive irrigation permits the area to be a rich dairy center.

Topography within the project area is planar except where complicated by ice contact features and older stream terraces. The Dungeness River provides the largest single drainage channel—many smaller drainage ways, natural and artificial, also exist.

The area is developed primarily upon thick deposits of Pleistocene sands and gravels derived from recessional glacial outwash. Those outwash deposits that serve as aquifers are probably from Vashon age glaciation. Mixed with the permeable sand and gravel outwash are deposits of fine, impermeable material deposited as glacial lake deposits or as ground moraine. Some of the outwash material remains as it was originally deposited. Most of the outwash material has been re-worked by the Dungeness and neighboring streams as they carved surfaces graded from the mountains to a base level that has lowered at a non-uniform rate since Pleistocene time. As the base level lowered, downcutting streams left remnants of several terraces. Most terraces are mantled with thick silt deposits and have relatively higher altitudes—this combination requires deeper wells to penetrate the regional water surface. The surface graded to present sea level has a thinner mantle of fine materials and a relatively lower altitude such that shallower wells are possible.

Most wells tap unconfined ground water, but irregularly located impermeable deposits may cause local areas of confined ground water. Majority of ground-water recharge probably moves from the mountains, but local precipitation and losses from irrigation are also important factors. The recharge from irrigation is apparently responsible for the occurrence of highest water levels in summer. Artificial discharge has shown no apparent effect on the status of the water table.

Areas with surfaces graded directly to the Dungeness River and streams to the east are most productive of ground water. Water-logged areas also occur here and may perhaps be drained by pumping of large diameter wells. Happy Valley is an area which could possibly be developed by proper recovery of ground water. The northern and southern perimeter of the report area show the least likely spots for production of ground water.

Chemical analyses indicate hard but otherwise suitable water.

INTRODUCTION

Purpose and Scope of Investigation.

This investigation was made by the Division of Water Resources of the Department of Conservation as a part of a continuing program for the collection and interpretation of basic data concerning the ground-water supply of the State of Washington. The project was predicated by a number of requests for information pertaining to ground water by numerous individuals and agencies actively engaged in water resources utilization in the Sequim-Dungeness area.

The report was prepared under the general direction of Murray G. Walker, Supervisor, Division of Water Resources, Department of Conservation and under the direct supervision of Robert H. Russell, Assistant Supervisor. Work on the project was started in July, 1960 and completed in September, and required reconnaissance geologic mapping of the area, an interpretation of hydrologic and well record data and an evaluation of the effects of irrigation upon project lands. In presenting the material, the writer has directed his discussion toward the following conclusions: a determination of areas where appreciable amounts of ground water can be obtained to supplement irrigation district supplies from the Dungeness River, point out areas where new lands can be placed under irrigation utilizing ground water, and evaluate the feasibility of reclaiming water-logged lands by pumping shallow ground water for irrigation purposes.

Location and Extent of Area.

The Sequim-Dungeness area is a semi-ovoid landmass projecting into the Strait of Juan De Fuca in the northeast part of the Olympic Peninsula. It may be roughly considered to be a pediment carved upon glacial deposits that abut against the Olympic Range. The area chosen for this report includes the majority of the irrigable and arable lands; it is bounded on the north by the Strait of Juan De Fuca, on the east by Sequim Bay, on the west by Siebert Creek, and somewhat arbitrarily on the south by the 400 foot contour with the exception of the Inclusion of Happy Valley.

The base map (pl. 1) used in this report is composed of parts of four U. S. Geological Survey $7\frac{1}{2}$ minute quadrangles—the Dungeness quadrangle forms the northern part while the Sequim, Carlsborg, and Morse Creek quadrangles form the southern part of the area from east to west. Contour intervals on the maps are 20, 40, 40, and 50 feet, respectively.

The chief highway serving the area is U. S. 101, the Olympic Highway. Port Angeles, about 17 miles west of Sequim, is the nearest large center of population and is also located on U. S. 101.

Acknowledgments and Previous Investigations.

There has been no previous ground-water study made in the area. An intensive study of surface water and related irrigation supplies was made by the Bureau of Reclamation in 1950-51 to determine the feasibility of a government sponsored closed pipe distribution system for irrigation. No positive action was taken as a result of the report, but it does serve well for a detailed study of the irrigation system and requirements of the area.

A few well records and miscellaneous well data were available from water-right applications made to the Division of Water Resources. The majority of the well data was collected by Glen Holmberg of the Ground Water Branch, U. S. Geological Survey and the writer in the summer of 1960. Additional information was generously provided by Vernon Van Ausdle and Valler and Nick Stolcan, all of whom are well drillers in the area. The greatest part of the information gathered was made possible only through the cooperation of the individual well owners.

To the best of the writer's knowledge there has been no detailed geology done concerning the glacial deposits which comprise the important water-bearing zones. Weaver (1937) and McMichael (1946) briefly describe the Tertlary rocks underlying the Quaternary sediments but within the confines of this report there is no water derived from Tertiary formations. An invaluable aid for mapping the geology was a collection of aerial photographs of the area provided by Allen D. Busenbark of the Soil Conservation Service in Port Angeles.

Several oil companies have carried on explorations in the area, and four generalized cross sections were prepared from shot hole logs released by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. made for Standard Oil Company of California for a seismic survey during the winter of 1954-55.

The writer wishes to expressly thank Mr. Allen D. Busenbark for his cooperation, and Mr. Glen Holmberg for field work, advice, and much additional information he was able to provide. He is also grateful to the aid provided in procedural suggestions by Robert H. Russell, Dee Molenaar, and other members of the Division of Water Resources.

Well Numbering System.

Well numbers used by the U. S. Geological Survey and the Washington State Division of Water Resources show locations of wells according to the rectangular system for sub-division of public land, indicating township, range, section, and 40-acre tract within the section. An example is the well numbered

30/3W-20C1 located west of Brown Road just northwest of Sequim. The part

preceding the hyphen indicates successively the township and range (T. 30 N., R. 3 W.) north and west of the Willamette base line and meridian. The first number after the hyphen indicates the section (Sec. 20) within that township and the letter (C) gives the 40-acre sub-division of the section as shown in the diagram. The last number (1) is the serial number of the well in that particular 40-acre-tract and is the first well recorded there. The second well recorded would have the number 30/3W-20C2.

D	G	В	А
w	F	G	Н
M	L	K	7
. N	Ρ	Q	R

GEOGRAPHY

Topography and Drainage.

The topography of the Sequim-Dungeness area is generally planar with a downward gradient of about 45 feet per mile toward the north. Terraces and hummocky areas of un-reworked glacial outwash deposits exist on the plain-these are discussed in more detail under "Geology." The topography has been carved by the numerous streams heading in the foothills or above and flowing roughly parallel to each other directly to the straits. The Dungeness River is the largest of these and provides the area with most of the irrigation water as well as providing municipal water for the town of Sequim.

Drainage within the report area is complex. A network of irrigation ditches and wasteways from the ditches act as artificial distributaries from the Dungeness and adjacent native streams. The ditches are controlled by four irrigation districts and seven or more private ditch companies. A comprehensive study of the surface waters was made by the Bureau of Reclamation (U.S.B.R., 1951). The irrigated lands as well as the ditches that serve them are important factors in the status of the ground-water table. Shallower wells reportedly have higher water levels in late summer after receiving the full effect of percolation of irrigation water. Some have gone dry in the winter months when precipitation is highest, but irrigation recharge is absent. The lowland surface streams are also reported to carry more water in summer than winter.

Climate.

The Sequim-Dungeness area is in a rain shadow thrown by the Olympic Mountains. The Dungeness River watershed receives fairly large (though specifically unknown) quantities of precipitation in the uplands. Precipitation rapidly decreases toward the coastal area.

The Sequim weather station reports average annual precipitation (terminating in 1959) to be 16.92 inches; December with 2.77 inches is the wettest

month, and July with 0.55 Inches is the driest. Average temperatures range from 37.7° in January to 60.7° in August with an annual average of 49.2° (U. S. Weather Bureau, 1960). There is a fairly high Incidence of sunshine and a growing season of 194 days, but precipitation during the growing season is almost negligible.

Culture.

The climate, coupled with the extensive irrigation programs, serves to make the area one of the richest dairy centers in the State. Dairying is of primary importance but some crops are also grown. Logging plus an increasing tourist trade also figure as important facets of the economy. Sequim is the only incorporated town in the area—approximately one-third of the population resides there. Dungeness, Carlsborg, and Jamestown are the only other communities. Farming areas are located almost exclusively on the silt-mantled gravel plain graded to the Dungeness and its neighboring streams. A few of the areas of greater relief are farmed, but most remain forested due to poorer quality soils plus greater difficulty of irrigation.

GEOLOGY

The Sequim-Dungeness area is chiefly developed upon glacial outwash deposits from the Vashon age glacier at the close of the Pleistocene (Ice Age) epoch. The underlying Tertiary rocks crop out in beach exposures at Sequim Bay State Park on the east, and the junction of U. S: 101 and Siebert Creek on the west. A very general line between these points delineates the thick sequence of glacial outwash to the north from the glacial drift veneered foothills of the Olympic Mountains to the south.

Geologic History.

In early Pleistocene time the Olympic Mountains had probably been elevated to their present altitude. Then, as now, the northern front of the range was probably represented by the mountains rising south of Sequim and their outlier, Bell Hill. These mountains are composed of at least four sequences of folded and faulted sandstones, siltstones, and conglomerates ranging in age from Miocene through Eocene and resting upon or interbedded with Eocene submarine volcanics (Brown, et al, 1956). As far as is known, there are no acid igneous or coarsely crystalline metamorphic rocks native to the Olympics; therefore, outwash gravels were obviously derived from glacial-materials carried from the north rather than from the present source of detritus to the south.

Since 1920, several test wells have been drilled by oil companies in the vicinity of Sequim. Their records show the Tertiary rocks to be very near the surface at the northern foot of Bell Hill, 2,105 feet below the surface just north of Gierin Hill, and 2,900 feet below the surface about one mile northwest of Jamestown.

The glacial activity responsible for the thick sequence of glacial outwash must have been exceedingly complex—an historical interpretation can only be a

brief simplification. Three or more continental glaciers are believed to have advanced into Washington from the north during Pleistocene time. Within the report area the exposed sands and gravels deposited from glacial outwash are sound and unweathered which is typical of the latest or Vashon age glacial outwash. Earlier continental glaciers were quite possibly existent in the area, but neither outcrops nor well logs indicate definite evidence for pre-Vashon glaciation. Thus, the Vashon age glaciation is here considered chiefly responsible for the glacial geology of the area.

The Vashon age glacier flowed in a generally southward and westward direction to abut against the foothills of the Olympic Mountains. The topography over which this glacier advanced is unknown, but some semblance of a coastal shelf or plain derived from the ancestral Dungeness River probably existed. No till stratum is apparent from the glacier's advance, and the pre-Vashon surface must have been far below the present sea level. A well log from the Dungeness Lighthouse (31/3W-18F1) reports clam shells at 329 feet overlying clays and overlain by sands. This may possibly represent the surface just prior to the final glaciation, but it may also indicate a pause in the recession of the Vashon age glacier. The top of the consolidated Tertiary rocks is probably over 3,000 feet below this clam horizon; and the intervening sediments may be anything later than the uplift of the Olympics, probably during the Pliocene epoch.

Glacial erratics have been reported as high as 3,000 feet in the Olympics so the maximum extent of ice must have reached that altitude. During the earliest retreat of the glacier, the high relief prevented any accumulation of stratified outwash. Instead, a thin mantle of unstratified and heterogeneous material was deposited, probably as a ground and abiation moraine. This material merges indefinitely into the soils developed on the Tertiary bedrock to the south and into stratified recessional outwash to the north.

As the ice receded below the present 400 foot contour, relief became gradual enough that detritus derived from both ice front and foothills began to be laid down by the streams flowing from both directions. It is this material that comprises the great majority of the thick sequence of sands and gravels overlying the Tertiary bedrock. Outcrops of these sands and gravels occur along the sea cliff west of Dungeness and in road cuts and gravel pits throughout the area designated "Qg" on the geologic map (pi. 2). Bedding, grading, and sorting indicate extremely turbulent conditions of deposition. Single deposits of sand or gravel are seldom extensive. They pinch and swell rapidly or sharply abut against a second deposit in a cross-bedded attitude.

Well logs show frequent occurrences of "clay," "gravelly clay," and "hardpan." Pure clay was seen in outcrops at only two localities—a small deposit of blue clay on the sea cliff, and a very small exposure in a roadcut of U.S. 101 just east of McDonaid Creek. The latter is a pale tan, pure clay that is probably composed of rock flour deposited in a temporary ice-dammed pond. Lakes and ponds must have been common during the ice retreat, and much of the clay reported in logs is probably of glacio-lacustrine origin. Other materials reported as clay may, in fact, be silts deposited from slower moving or flooded streams. The "gravelly clay" and "hardpan" may conceivably be of two origins: (1) Pure lake clays containing ice-rafted or otherwise introduced coarse detritus and (2) local areas of ground moraine (not a true till) probably laid down beneath

GEOLOGY 7

the glacier during brief re-advances and (or) left as ablation deposits from a stagnant ice surface during retreats. Sections of these fine materials are shown in plate 3. Regardless of their origin, from a ground-water standpoint, these materials are nearly impermeable; they are non-productive but may serve as aquicludes above water-bearing materials and permit artesian pressures to develop.

The areas designated "Qg" on the geologic map represent materials left behind the retreating glacier and not re-worked by streams flowing from the Olympics. On the higher slopes to the south, this material is unstratified; to the north the majority is stratified outwash that was laid down in proximity to the glacier. The northernmost areas of "Qg" are excellent examples of ice contact features. They display erratic, hummocky topography with many closed depressions caused by the melting of great blocks of stagnant ice left behind by the retreating glacier and covered over with debris. "The Hogsback" (T. 30 N., R. 4 W., Sec. 3) is a gravel ridge that may be a short esker (deposits from a rapidly flowing stream beneath the ice). The materials of these areas range from silts through coarse gravels and probably are representative of most of the glacial outwash.

As the ice retreated and outwash materials were being deposited, the Dungeness and its neighboring streams flowed toward the ice to meet the sea wherever they could find exits. Climates, during the time of glacial recession, are thought to have been more severe than the present climate; and the Dungeness probably had a considerably greater discharge. The strong streams descending upon the loosely consolidated outwash were able to carve out a surface graded from the mountain front to a base level that was probably the ice front at first and later was sea level. Much of the surficial material was deposited directly by the northward flowing streams, and the resulting planar surface may be described as a combination of an alluvial plain and a pediment.

The older graded surfaces are designated "Qtal" on the geologic map. They were graded to a base level considerably higher than at present and probably were produced during the final retreat of the glacier. They were possibly graded to the ice front itself. In general, these areas have very thick deposits of silt on the surface and require deeper wells to penetrate water-bearing sands and gravels. Happy Valley is the most interesting of the older terraces. It is filled with a very thick sequence of alluvium; the upper part, at least, is silt and fine sand. It was occupied by a then more powerful Bell Creek and may once have been continuous with the terrace noted on Gierin Hill and possibly ended against the glacier. Retreat of the glacier, a relative lowering of sea level, and a decrease in discharge of Bell Creek allowed the Dungeness and its eastern neighbors to truncate the higher valley leaving it as a hanging valley. Bell Creek today is considerably weaker than it was even after the Dungeness truncated Happy Valley. It is now only a marshy drainage that would not have been responsible for cutting its present valley floor near its mouth.

The areas designated "Qal" are done so somewhat arbitrarily. They are thought to have formed after the final retreat of the Ice and are related in that they were all graded to a lower sea level rather than the ice front or the pre-existing higher sea level. Furthermore, they comprise the great majority of the arable and irrigable land. In reality, the area is a series of surfaces that probably range in age from the youngest "Qtal" surface to the present day flood plain

alluvium of the Dungeness. When the "Qal" surface first began forming, it may have been much more planar than it is now. The oldest preserved parts are adjacent to McDonald and Siebert Creeks. Well logs near McDonald Creek show a quite thick mantle of fine alluvium overlying gravels. This mantle was probably deposited during a period of aggradation by the streams. Since the time of aggradation (which was probably brief) a relative lowering of sea level has caused a more or less continuous period of downcutting by all the streams. Siebert and McDonald Creeks have cut deep canyons into their old surfaces while the Dungeness and eastern streams have managed to swing back and forth over their drainage areas leaving small terraces behind them as they cut down. Consequently, the land near the Dungeness generally has a much thinner mantle of fine material overlying the potential water-bearing sands and gravels.

Probably the latest geologic event is the continuing building of the numerous sand spits by longshore current action.

GROUND WATER

Occurrence.

Virtually all of the ground water within the report boundaries is derived from sands and gravels deposited by the northward flowing streams or as glacial outwash. This material is generally permeable enough to allow moderate flow rates of the ground water.

The water level of wells sunk directly into the permeable sands and gravels reflects the height of the water table or zone of saturation. The water table contours of plate 1 are developed mainly from well measurements of this sort; the contours give a rough representation of the status of the regional water table. Depth to water can theoretically be computed by taking the difference between the land surface altitude and water table altitude for any particular point. In a plain composed of uniformly permeable material, the ground-water table will ideally be parallel to the topography. Where this condition applies within the report area, the water table averages about 8 to 15 feet below the surface and will fluctuate seasonally. Irregular topography, varying depths of surface silts, and irregularly located deposits of clay and "hardpan" serve to complicate any simplified picture of the water table.

The thick surficial silts such as those in cross section A-A' (pl. 3) have a low enough permeability as to be almost non-productive. If the water table (saturated zone) is 20 feet below land surface yet the silts are 35 feet deep and underlain by water-bearing gravels, wells must penetrate the gravels to receive a sufficient supply of water. If left unpumped, the water will rise in the casing to meet the 20 foot water table. Upon pumping, the water level will draw down to a point where the recharge from the gravels balances the discharge of the pumps.

The above conditions determine an "unconfined" water table. The subsurface clays and "hardpan" cause a different type of complication. They are impermeable materials which may serve to confine under pressure the ground water contained in more permeable strata beneath them. If no saturated zone occurs in permeable material above the clay, well drills will not encounter productive quantities of water until they have passed through the impermeable stratum. The water

then encountered rises in the casing to a height corresponding to the pressure head on the confined ground water (Sceva, 1957, p. 31). Several wells throughout the report area encounter impermeable strata at various levels. For a permanent water supply it is usually necessary to drill through them if they are encountered close to the regional water table. In a few cases, shallow wells penetrating "hardpan" produce artesian wells which flow or come very near the land surface. Two very deep wells near the east coastline (30/3W-5B1 and 15G1) penetrate confining strata allowing strongly flowing artesian production. Usually, however; the impermeable strata serve as an unfortunate obstruction to good wells rather than an aid.

Ground Water Movement.

The flow of ground water at any point is perpendicular to the water table level which is represented by the water table contours. Within the report area the flow probably assumes a fan-shaped pattern with the apex at the Dungeness River where it emerges from the mountains. This pattern is probably closely similar to the surface drainage pattern.

Recharge and Discharge.

Natural precipitation is the chief source of ground-water recharge in the average ground-water region. The Sequim-Dungeness area, however, is somewhat unusual in that annual precipitation is low and the ground-water supply is quite abundant. The major source of recharge is probably from precipitation, but most of that precipitation probably falls upon the mountains rather than on the plain. Some observers believe the Dungeness River itself decreases in volume as it flows toward the sea but no accurate stream gage measurements are available to confirm this; if this decreasing flow is true, the Dungeness would act as an important source of recharge. Happy Valley may indicate evidence for recharge from higher altitudes. This valley drains a sizeable watershed, but no surface stream remains in it—drainage must be nearly all sub-surface and eventually must infiltrate the water table in the Sequim vicinity.

An important secondary source of recharge is directly from irrigation. While natural precipitation is nearly absent during the summer months, much of the irrigated land receives a large quantity of water from both sprinkler and flood irrigation. (From a soil conservation standpoint, some of the land receives far too much irrigation water.) Evaporation and transpiration are high during the summer and much additional water is retained by the soil. There is an appreciable excess of water that is available to percolate directly to the water table. This water not only maintains the water table but builds it considerably higher. There are no annual hydrographs of wells (graphs of water levels plotted against time) in this area. Hydrographs from Thurston County of wells in similar geologic conditions and relative (although greater) precipitation show a water level peak in mid-winter with a steady decline until November at which time the levels rapidly rise toward the peak again (Wallace and Molenaar, 1960). Reports from well owners in the Sequim-Dungeness area indicate the water level peaks in late summer and is lowest in the winter; a few wells go dry in the winter. This

phenomenon could only be caused by a six-month lag between precipitation and water level reflection or be due to a rapid recharge directly from irrigation supplies. The former reason is very unlikely in an area of highly permeable sand and gravel. Since most of the irrigation water is distributed by open, unlined ditches, much of the recharge must be delivered directly from ditch losses as well as from the irrigated soils. The following table shows examples of a midsummer increase of the water table. Observations were made on a few representative wells in which static water levels could be reliably measured. The U.S. Geological Survey is tentatively planning to use these or similar wells to make monthly measurements to produce annual hydrographs.

Well Number	June 15	July 18	August 9	Maximum noted Increase In Water Level
30/3W-7R1	17.92	16.21	14.79	3.13
30/3W-16B2	26.04	23.53		2.51
30/4W-4P1	32.92	32.39		0.53
30/4W-15M2	12.57	11.35		1.22
30/4W-22J1		94.10	93.78	0.32

<u>TABLE 1.</u> Wells used for recording static water levels during summer of 1960. Feet below land surface.

Ground-water discharge is accomplished by flow onto the surface (wells or springs) or directly into surface streams or the sea, and by evaporation, transpiration, and pumping from wells (Sceva, 1957, p. 33). All of these types of discharge occur within the report area, but the greatest discharge is probably directly into the sea and below sea level. A few springs occur locally near the base of the sea cliff. During the times of maximum water table there is probably some discharge into the Dungeness, but the balance between recharge by and discharge to the river is unknown. Present well use apparently has little effect on discharge patterns. Some wells located in poorly producing areas will pump dry, while wells developed in good aquifers can pump large quantities of water indefinitely without recognizable effects on the water table.

Areas Where Additional Ground Water is Available.

The most productive ground-water area occupies the region designated "Qal" on the geologic map from a general north-south line between McDonald Creek and the Dungeness River eastward to the coastline. It is this area which typically has a thin soil and silt mantle overlying thick deposits of sands and gravels. Many wells here are excellent producers capable of sustaining

considerable Irrigation. Two good, but not unusual, examples are wells 30/3W-7R1 (Sequim cemetery) and 30/3W-20C1 (just northeast of Sequim). The former irrigates the cemetery and has immediate recovery. The latter, a dug well, is capable of continuous production of 300 gallons per minute irrigating 40 acres of land. Wells in this area are generally between 20 and 40 feet deep. In some cases production may be increased by larger diameter or properly constructed deeper wells permitting a greater area of infiltration.

There are several poorly drained sub-areas within this area. Some locations noted are in the SE½ of Section 20 east of Sequim; the SW½ of Section 17 north of Sequim; and the area south of the Spath Road west of Carlsborg. Described as "sub-irrigated," it is thought that this condition represents a perched water table developed above an impermeable layer. Those areas with permeable materials near the surface could conceivably accommodate shallow, large diameter wells which would serve the dual purpose of supplying additional water during the irrigation season as well as helping to drain the adjacent land.

The low country near the coast both north and south of Gray's Marsh apparently has a good potential water supply, but very little well information is available. Driven sand points are common here but allow no water level measurements or knowledge of the materials penetrated. Near Dungeness there is some evidence of a shallow "hardpan" layer below which a good supply of water will rise close to the surface. In general, there seems to be a high water table, but the surficial material is often of low permeability. Maximum development of wells here may require drilling into more permeable material or else to enlarge the well diameter. Infiltration trenches are also a possibility.

Happy Valley is possibly a potential supply area, but more information is required here. Only deep wells seem to be suitable for permanent supplies. The fact that Happy Valley drainage is primarily sub-surface suggests that there is a very good supply of ground water available. The oil test well 30/3W-30Q1 (Dalton-Pettet #1) encountered flowing artesian water at both 256 and 800 feet, but quantities were not given. Although initially expensive, such wells might provide a valuable water supply. Near the head of the valley the Tertiary rocks are nearest the surface and might act as a water-bearing zone where they are in contact with the Pleistocene deposits. Basalt and fine sandstone crop out in road cuts above the west fork of Johnson Creek.

Areas Deficient in Ground Water.

The only areas truly deficient seem to be near the periphery of the Tertiary rocks. At these places the unstratified glacial drift is most common, and it directly overlies the nearly barren Tertiary rocks. There were no places noted where water was unavailable, but supplies are usually smaller or inadequate near the Tertiary rocks.

Well's located on the "Qtal" surfaces and the "Qal" in the western part of the report area are usually able to produce adequate supplies, but they must penetrate much deeper deposits of silt. Also, simply because of their higher elevation than the surrounding land, the "Qtal" areas have water tables farther below the surface. The area between McDonald and Siebert Creeks also has deeper wells due to a lower water table. Since excessive surface silts do not occur here, the reason for the depth of the water table is not understood.

The glacial gravels that have remained unworked by later streams (the northern areas of "Qg") seem to show poor potential, but very little well information is available here. Here again, the higher elevations plus the turbulently bedded character of the outwash do not seem to be conducive to economical production for purposes other than domestic supply.

Chemical Quality.

Table 2 shows partial chemical analyses made on randomly chosen wells in the area. The results show a general tendency toward hard and alkaline waters, but do not lend themselves to show close chemical similarity either vertically or laterally. Probably the areas of chemical similarity change as rapidly as does the geology.

Hardness is expressed as the equivalent hardness of otherwise pure water containing the stated amount of calcium carbonate in parts per million. Alkalinity is expressed in the same manner. The results of both tests may be converted to equivalent parts per million by dividing by 50. Alkalinity as calcium carbonate may be converted to bicarbonate by dividing the given result by 0.8202.

Hardness is a non specific term that generally represents the soap-consuming property of water or the incrustations left by water after it has been heated. Calcium and magnesium are chiefly responsible for these properties; hence, calcium carbonate is traditionally used as a criterion for hardness (Hem, 1959, p. 32-34). The U. S. Geological Survey defines hardness as calcium carbonate in parts per million as follows:

· 0- 60	 Soft
61-120	 Slightly hard
121-200	 Hard
Above 200	 Very hard

Chloride occurs in nearly negligible quantities in the waters sampled. The U. S. Public Health Service has set 250 parts per million as the upper limit for chloride in acceptable water. Rain water has an average of three parts per million, and sea water has 18,980 parts per million (Hem, 1959, p. 103-111). Chloride content shows a marked increase when sea water encroaches on ground-water reservoirs—there is no suggestion of this within the area of this report.

The chemical analyses were made by the writer with the assistance and facilities of the Washington State Pollution Control Commission in Olympia.

CONCLUSION

The Sequim-Dungeness area has a potential water supply that will allow liberal and inexpensive irrigation wherever required and yet not deplete the natural water resources. The majority of the present irrigation supply is diverted from the Dungeness River, but the State Fisheries Department has requested that no additional water be diverted so the river can be preserved as a spawning area. Under present conditions, additional irrigation supplies are desirable as there is

TABLE 2. Partial chemical analyses of randomly sampled well waters in the Sequim-Dungeness area.

Well number	Hardness (as CaCO ₃) ppm	Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) ppm	Chloride ppm	s0 ₄ *	Well depth In feet
30/3W-6C1	169	106	23	neg	7
30/3W-7R1	133	122	4	neg	35
30/3W-17F1	118	112	2	neg	32
30/4W-7K1	93	92	6	neg	96
30/4W-8G1	166	174	8	neg	100
30/4W-17G1	45	94	3	neg	97
30/4W-18A1	111	104	6	лед	145
30/4W-20C1	144	150	8	neg	108
30/4W-23J1	85	76	2	neg	20
30/5W-12L1	89	90	5	neg	99
30/5W-13E1	75	58	5	neg	20
30/5W-13K1	129	130	6	neg	5
31/4W-26M1	160	158	12	neg	49

^{*&}quot;neg" means less than 50 ppm $\,$

much land near the extremes of the ditches not receiving a full supply of water; yet these lands are fully assessed for water. Additional supplies may be obtained in two ways: (1) A more efficient distribution system that allows less water loss during transit and (2) supplementing the existing supply by the use of large quantities of ground water which could be pumped into existing ditches or used to irrigate directly from the wells.

A closed pipe distribution system was proposed and outlined by the Bureau of Reclamation. This system would be initially expensive but would have the long range advantage of reducing individual pumping costs by providing sprinkler head pressures as well as greatly reducing evaporation and seepage losses in transit. It would also partially combine and simplify the presently complicated system of irrigation districts and ditch companies that have grown sporadically since irrigation began in the area over 60 years ago. If additional water is still required beyond the allowable stream diversion limits, it could be obtained from properly located wells.

Directly supplementing the existing ditch supplies by the use of ground water would be initially less expensive than a closed pipe system but less efficient over the years if the present ditch system is retained. Well-water supplements could be made by either the irrigation districts or ditch companies, or on an Individual basis by the farmer who needs more water. Present well drilling costs range from \$7.50 per foot for 6-inch casings to \$15.00 per foot for 12-inch casings, and there are many good producing wells no deeper than 25 feet that are capable of irrigating at least 50 acres. Well drilling involves uncertainties, but a study of the well location maps (pl. 1) and the records of nearby wells (table 3) can greatly improve the probability for success. For example, the Agnew district has a water table 70 or 80 feet below land surface and water quantities are uncertain. In contrast the Carlsborg area generally has a shallow water table with excellent production. The Irrigation districts and ditch companies are in a better position to experiment in determining correct locations and construction of large producing wells than individual farmers. Also, they can transport water from good ground-water areas to the areas where neither present ditch supplies nor potential ground-water supplies are available. In locating new well sites, water-logged lands with wells of large infiltration area should be seriously considered. In any event, the potential well owner is advised to consult a reliable ground-water geologist or well driller who is familiar with the district.

EXPLANATION OF PLATES AND TABLES

Much of the data collected for this report is incorporated in the following tables. These data were collected in the field during the summer of 1960 or combined from several miscellaneous sources of earlier work already cited.

Table 3, records of wells, lists the pertinent information of all the water wells recorded in the area. Abbreviations used are explained in the table. Depths used are in feet below land surface; depths given in whole numbers are reported and cannot be assumed to be absolutely correct while those depths followed by one or two decimal numbers have been accurately measured in the fleid. In many cases, wells are sealed such that measured depths could not be obtained. Altitudes of wells are interpolated from the U. S. Geological Survey topographic maps.

Table 4 is a compilation of well logs. The information was derived from owner's reports, driller's reports, and from water right applications made to the Division of Water Resources. Table 4 lists not only water wells (noted simply "well") but also seismic shot holes and oil test wells. The oil test well information was obtained from Oil and Gas Exploration in Washington (Livingston, 1958).

The terminology used in the well logs is that of the driller or the source record unless enclosed in parentheses. Parenthetical terms are interpretations or paraphrases of the original terms and are made by the writer. Driller's terms are often confusing, when used in a geologic sense, but are usually appropriate for a general description of the materials penetrated.

Plates 1 and 2 are developed from the original U. S. Geological Survey topographic sheets. Water well locations in plate 1 were obtained by pacing from the nearest landmark and scaling directly onto the map. Location accuracy is well within the area covered by the map symbol. Shot hole locations were transferred from the Standard Oli Company shot hole location map, and their accuracy can be assumed to be only approximate. Oil well locations were obtained from legal descriptions of the wells. Plate 3 shows representative cross sections along the lines marked on the geologic map (pl. 2). The cross sections are highly generalized—they intend to show basic geologic differences interpreted from well logs and are not to be assumed accurate enough to use as a drilling guide.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Brown, R. D., Jr., Snavely, P. D., Jr., and Gower, H. D., 1956, Lyre formation (redefinition) northern Olympic Peninsula, Washington: Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geologists, Bull., v. 40, no. 1, p. 94-107.
- Hem, J. D., 1959, Study and interpretation of the chemical characteristics of natural water, United States Geoi. Surv., Water-Supply Paper 1473, 269 p.
- Livingston, V. E., Jr., 1958, Oil and gas exploration in Washington: Washington Div. Mines and Geology, Inf. Circ. 29, p. 14-17.
- 4. McMichael, L. B., 1946, Geology of the northeastern Olympic Peninsula: Univ. of Wash. M. S. thesis.
- Sceva, J. E., 1957, Geology and ground-water resources of Kitsap County, Washington: United States Geol. Surv. Water-Supply Paper 1413, 178 p.
- Smith, L. H., Olsen, H. A., and Fox, W. W., 1951, Soil survey, Clallam County, Washington: United States Dept. of Agr. in coop. with Wash. Agr. Exp. Sta. and Wash. State Planning Council. (Contains soils map.)
- 7. Tolman, C. F., 1937, Ground water: New York, McGraw Hill, 593 p.

- 8. United States Bureau of Reclamation, 1951, Sequim Project, Washington, Project planning report 1-1. 3-1: Boise, Idaho, 83 p.
- 9. United States Weather Bureau, 1960, Climatological data, Washington, annual summary 1959: v. 63, no. 13.
- 10. Wallace, E. F. and Molenaar, Dee, Geology and ground-water resources of Thurston County, Washington: Wash. Div. of Water Resources, Bull. no. 10, v. 1. (Publication due late 1960.)
- 11. Weaver, C. E., 1937, Tertiary stratigraphy of western Washington and northwestern Oregon: Univ. of Wash. Publ. in Geology, v. 4, 266 p.

Table 3. -- Records of Wells.

Explanation:

Well No: Locations are shown on plate 1. Numbering system explained on page 3.

Altitude: Interpolated from U. S. Geological Survey topographic maps.

Type of well: Dg, dug; Dn, driven; Dr, drilled.

Depth of well: Depths reported by owners are in whole numbers. Depths measured during field examination given to tenths of a foot.

Water level depth below land surface: Depths given to hundredths were

measured during field examination on the date noted.

Pump: C, centrifugal; J, jet; N, none; P, piston; S, submersible; T, turbine.

Use: D, Gomestic; Dalry, barn and milkhouse use; Irr, irrigation; N, not used; P.S., public supply; S, stock.

Remarks: DD, drawdown; gpm, gallons per minute; L, well log in table 4; Perf, perforations; S, partial chemical analysis in table 2; SWL, static water level; ppm, parts per million.

							1					
				les)	1		Wat	er level	P	ump		
Owner or tenant	Altltude (feet)	Type of well	Depth of well (feet)	Diameter of well (inch	Depth of casing (feet)	Character of water-bearing material	Below land surface (feet)	Date	Туре	Horsepower	Use of water	Remarks
-'						T. 29 N.,	R. 3 W.					
Sequim State Park	170	Dr	492	8	111	gravel w/shale	-				N	(L) Perf. at 78-84 and 108-111 ft.
Buyers, Otto H.	675	Dr	121	6	121	med. sand	112	8-9 - 60	N		D	(L) Balled 8 gpm with 3½ ft DD.
						T. 30 N.,	R. 3 W.					
U.S. Government	5	Dr	265	6		sand	Flows	7-27-50	N		P.S.	
Dr. Wilcox	8	Dr	30.15	6		gravel	5.95	6-17-60	N		۵	DD 5 ft after pumping 2 hrs at
Evans, Frank Kirner, Conrad	30 105	Dg Dr	7.3 84	36 9	84	gravel gravel		1		I-	D D	18 gpm, (S) Good yield. Will pump dry; rapid recovery. Riv sllt 80 ft, gravel 4 ft.
	Sequim State Park Buyers, Otto H. U.S. Government Or. Wilcox Evans, Frank	Sequim State Park 170 Buyers, Otto H. 675 U.S. Government 5 Or. Wilcox 8 Evans, Frank 30	Sequim State Park 170 Dr Buyers, Otto H. 675 Dr U.S. Government 5 Dr Dr. Wilcox 8 Dr Evans, Frank 30 Dg	Sequim State Park 170 Dr 492 Buyers, Otto H. 675 Dr 121 U.S. Government 5 Dr 265 Dr. Wilcox 8 Dr 30.15 Evans, Frank 30 Dg 7.3	Sequim State Park 170 Dr 492 8	Owner or tenant 170 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Owner or tenant 19 april 14 by 10 april 20 a	Owner or tenant (39) phill	Owner or tenant 1	Owner or tenant 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	Owner or tenant (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b	Owner or tenant 1

					les)	_		Wat	er level	P	ump		
Welf No.	Owner or tenant	Altitude (feet)	Type of well	Depth of well (feet)	Diameter of well (inches)	Depth of casing (feet)	Character of water-bearing material	Below land surface (feet)	Date	Туре	Horsepower	Use of water	Remarks
T. 30 N., R. 3 W Continued													
6R1 7A1 7D1	Anginii, Nick Still, Chas. Gaskell, Robt.	60 60 102	Dg Dr Dr	22 32.0 130	6 6	130	gravel	18 19.19 75	1950 8-8-60 Oct, 58	J	 3/4 1	D D D,	(L) Supply inadequate. (L) DD 10 ft pumping 20 gpm.
7L1	Griffith, John T.	100	Dr	46	8		sand & gravel	26.69	8-8-60	J	1	Dairy D	(L) DD 2 ft after 2 hrs pumping 200 gpm.
7R1	Sequim cemetery	118	Dr	35	6		sand & gravel	14.79	8 - 8-60	J	1	[rr	(S) Water level has immediate
8C1 8R1	Cassalery, Moe Stares, Geo.	35 116	Dn Dg, Dr				fine sand	13 76			1 1½	D,S D,S	recovery. Good supply. Pumps 5 gpm.
9K1	Grays Marsh Farms	100	Dr	40	6			39+		т		D,	
5G1	Coulter & Scott	20	Dr	574	8	574	fine gravel	Flows	4-13-51	T	5	Dairy D, Irr	(L) Perf, see log; flows in excess
6B1 6B2	Snider, Grant E. Grays Marsh Farms	108 102	Dr Dg	39 27.8	6 36		gravel	19 23.53	7 - 19-60		3/4 1/2	D N	of 100 gpm. Polluted.
6E1 7F1 0A1	Willis Stone, Stacey Blake, Ed	132 108 110	Dr Dr Dr	60 32 34.0	6 6		sand sand	45 7 11.56	8-8-60	С	1/2 1 3/4	D D,S D, Dairy	Pumps 6 gpm. (S) Pumps 17 gpm steadily. (L) Pumps 10 gpm.

-1
➣
₩
一
тı
w

20Bl	Bucher, John	140	Dr	23.2	6			0.0	7-27-50	C	1/4	frr,	Hardness 146.
20C1	Clayton, T. J.	145	Dg	50	42			9	4-20-49	С	71/2	Dairy D,5,	(L) Irr 40 acres. DD 10½ ft
21K1	Fishel	117	Dr	117	6	116	sand & gravel	99		J	11/2	lrr D	after 4 hrs pumping 300 gpm. (L) Perf. 103-116 ft; no DD
											-		after 4 hrs pumping 17 gpm.
22N1	Eberly, Geo.	205	Dr	179	6	179	gravel	150	1959	S	1/2	D	(L) DD 15 ft after 2 hrs pumping 5 gpm.
27B1	Whitfield	50	Dr	66	6	64	sand & gravel	35	May, 55	J	1/2	D	(L) DD 12 ft after pumping 9 gpm.
27B2	Forest, Eugene	40	Dr	64.0	8			25.30		١Ĵ	1	D	Poor supply. Hard, iron stain.
27B3	Evans, Fred G.	25	Dr	8.0	5	16	sand	2.99	8-8-60	C	1/2	Ď.	Poor supply, Iron stain,
27C1	McCorie, Wm.	150	Dr	35	6						li l	D,S	
28H1	Tripp, O.B.	220	Dr	400	4		sand & shale	190	Aut, 1948	P	11/2	D,	Hardness 18 ppm. Good producer.
	1. 1		_							l _ '	li	Dairy	
31J1	Dubuque, Peter	580	Dg	12.4				8.63	8-9-60	C	3/4	D	Poor supply, slow recharge.
		·					T. 30 N.,	R. 4 W.					
1K1	Lewis, Chas. D.	128	Dr	143	6		gravel	99		s	3		(L) DD 10 ft after pumping 40
													gpm, rapid recovery.
2M1	Schmuck, Hans	70	Dr	50.7	7			8.64	8-10-60	P		D,	
201	Manual Samuel	ا مم ا		امرا	20.00			[اما		Dairy	(1) 00 46 6
2P1	Manție, Rex J.	82	Dg	9.5	28x28		gravel	5.16	8-9-60	С	1	D, Dalry	(L) DD 4 ft after pumping 50 gpm, fast recovery.
341	Schreiner James	72	Dα		40			3 วก	8-9-60	ام	,		
3H1 4P1	Schreiner, James	72 125	Dg Dr	48	40 6				8-9-60 7-18-60		1	D	Good supply.
4P1	Cameron, Howard	125	Dr.	48	6			32.39	7-18-60	J	ı	D S	
4P1 5N1	Cameron, Howard Lewis, D.H.W.	125 128	Dr Dr	48 95.4	6			32.39 81	7-18-60 Jan, 60	J		D S D,S	
4P1 5N1 7F1	Cameron, Howard Lewis, D.H.W. Niemi, Roy I.	125 128 148	Dr Dr	48 95.4 70.7	6 6			32.39	7-18-60 Jan, 60	J	1	D S D,S D	Good supply.
4P1 5N1 7F1 7K1	Cameron, Howard Lewis, D.H.W. Niemi, Roy I. Grimsley, D. K.	125 128	Or Or Or Or	48 95.4	6	98	sand & gravel	32.39 81 64.25	7-18-60 Jan, 60 7-19-60	7777	1 1 1/2	D S D,S D	Good supply
4P1 5N1 7F1 7K1 8G1	Cameron, Howard Lewis, D.H.W. Niemi, Roy I. Grimsley, D. K. Burdick, W. H.	125 128 148 170	Dr Dr	48 95.4 70.7 96	6 6 6	98	sand & gravel	32.39 81 64.25	7-18-60 Jan, 60 7-19-60 May, 1960	7777	1 1 1/2 3/4	D S D,S D D	Good supply
4P1 5N1 7F1 7K1	Cameron, Howard Lewis, D.H.W. Niemi, Roy I. Grimsley, D. K.	125 128 148 170 140	Dr Dr Dr Dr	48 95.4 70.7 96 104	6 6 6 6		sand & gravel	32.39 81 64.25	7-18-60 Jan, 60 7-19-60		1 1 1/2	D S D D D D D	(S) (L) (S) (L) DD 13 ft after pumping 17 gpm.
4P1 5N1 7F1 7K1 8G1 8J1	Cameron, Howard Lewis, D.H.W. Niemi, Roy I. GrImsley, D. K. Burdick, W. H. May, Bud	125 128 148 170 140 158	0° 0° 0° 0° 0°	48 95.4 70.7 96 104 56	666666	45	sand & gravel	32.39 81 64.25	7-18-60 Jan, 60 7-19-60 May, 1960		1 1 1/2 3/4 1	D S D,S D D	Good supply
4P1 5N1 7F1 7K1 8G1 8J1	Cameron, Howard Lewis, D.H.W. Niemi, Roy I. GrImsley, D. K. Burdick, W. H. May, Bud	125 128 148 170 140 158	0° 0° 0° 0° 0°	48 95.4 70.7 96 104 56	666666	45	sand & gravel	32.39 81 64.25	7-18-60 Jan, 60 7-19-60 May, 1960 Feb, 1960		1 1 1/2 3/4 1	D S D D D D D D D	(S) (L) (S) (L) DD 13 ft after pumping 17 gpm.
4P1 5N1 7F1 7K1 8G1 8J1 9C1 9K1 10L1	Cameron, Howard Lewis, D.H.W. Niemi, Roy I. GrImsley, D. K. Burdick, W. H. May, Bud Cameron, Howard	125 128 148 170 140 158 125	Or Or Or Or Or Or	48 95.4 70.7 96 104 56 70 21.8 22	66666666	45	sand & gravel	32.39 81 64.25 59 38	7-18-60 Jan, 60 7-19-60 May, 1960 Feb, 1960	סש נוננננ	1 1 1/2 3/4 1 3	D S D D D D D, Dairy	(S) (L) (S) (L) DD 13 ft after pumping 17 gpm.
4P1 5N1 7F1 7K1 8G1 8J1 9C1 9K1 10L1 10P1	Cameron, Howard Lewis, D.H.W. Niemi, Roy I. GrImsley, D. K. Burdick, W. H. May, Bud Cameron, Howard Cameron, V. W.	125 128 148 170 140 158 125 140 122 118	Or Or Or Or Or Or	48 95.4 70.7 96 104 56 70 21.8 22 10	6666666	45	sand & gravel coarse sand	32.39 81 64.25 59 38 9.63 2	7-18-60 Jan, 60 7-19-60 May, 1960 Feb, 1960	סש נוננננ	1 1 1/2 3/4 1 3	D S D, S D D D D, D Dairy D, S	(S) (L) (S) (L) DD 13 ft after pumping 17 gpm.
4P1 5N1 7F1 7K1 8G1 8J1 9C1 9K1 10L1	Cameron, Howard Lewis, D.H.W. Niemi, Roy I. Grimstey, D. K. Burdick, W. H. May, Bud Cameron, Howard Cameron, V. W. Cook, Norman	125 128 148 170 140 158 125	Or Or Or Or Or Or Or Or	48 95.4 70.7 96 104 56 70 21.8 22	66666666	45	sand & gravel coarse sand gravel	32.39 81 64.25 59 38 9.63	7-18-60 Jan, 60 7-19-60 May, 1960 Feb, 1960	200 666666	1 1 1/2 3/4 1 3	D S D,S D D D D, Dairy D,S D	(S) (L) (S) (L) DD 13 ft after pumping 17 gpm.

	<u>.</u> .					<u>Table 3.</u>	Records of we	ils Co	intinued				
				1	es)			Wat	er level	Р	ump		
Well No.	Owner or tenant	Altitude (feet) Type of well Depth of well (feet) Depth of well (inches) Character of well (inches) Mater-pearling Mater of well Character of well Mater-pearling Mater of well (feet) Depth of casing (feet) Character of well Mater of well (feet)		Date	Type	Horsepower	Use of water	Remarks					
	T. 30 N., R. 4 W Continued												
12M1	Krizo, Frank	115	Dg	13	18		gravel	8		С	5	Irr	(L) Irr 19 acres. No DD after 10
12Q1	Robins, Lester	119	Dr	25.0	6	20	sand & gravel	5.33	8-9-60	N			hrs pumping 120 gpm. (L) DD 4 inches after 1 hr pumping
12Q2	Robins, Lester	118	Dr	25	10	25				С	5	ler	65.gpm. Perf. Irr 22 acres. Perf 11-25 ft. DD 2 ft after 24 hrs pumping 160 gpm.
13D1	Fasola, Alfred	132	Dr	24	4		gravei	8		С	1/4	D	
13H1	Robinson, C. A.	157	Dr	30	6		gravel	25		J	3/4	D,S	
13Q1	Priest, R.	170		36	6					J	1/2	D	Hardness, 122.
14C1	Heath, Olive	140	Dr	20.7	6 30			12.01	8-9-60	Č	1/2		(1) 00 1 6 40 10 1 4 4 4
14F1	Adams, Elmer E.	155	-	30			gravel	12		_	-	irr	(L) DD 1 ft after 10 hrs pumping 100 gpm. 5 min recovery.
15G1 15H2	Avery, Robt. Avery, Robt.	155 158	Dr Dr	50 50	6			6		P	hand 3	D, Irr	No DD pumping 25 gpm.
15H2	Avery, Robt.	155		50	6			6			hand		40 00 painping 20 gpin.
15M1	Gillespie, F.	155		14.5	6			5,20	6-16-60	N		Ñ	Iron stain.
15M2	Gillespie, F.	155		42.5	6		gravel	11.35	7-19-60	C	1/4	D	
16K1	Frantz, John	195		63.1	6			60.56		J	11 2	D,S	***************************************
17D1	Simonson, Henry	200	Dg, Dr	146	5		very coarse sand	65	1947	Р	1	D,S	(L) Very good supply,
17G1	Miller, W. S.	200	Dr	97	6		5411U	65.40	7-22-60	J	ı	D	(S) Est. yield: 33 gpm.
18A1	Kovoch, Nick	210			۱ ŏ	I					1		(S)

													•
19J1	Sampair, J. A.	420	Dg	9.9	12	9.5	gravel & boulders	5.77	8-9-60	Р	1/2	D	Pumps dry in spring.
2001	Fox, H. C.	375	Dr	108	8		gravel	76	1947	J	11/2	D	(S) (L) Est. yield: 5 gpm. Has pumped dry.
21B1 22J1	Spencer, Chas.	238 238	Dr Dr	38 109	6			Flows	6-16-60 8-9-60		1/2 3/4	D D	Will pump dry.
22J2	Lochow, F. A. Stoican Drilling	238	Dr	150	6		sandy gravel	81.64			13	D	(L)
23E1	Company Burton, C. H.	238	Dg	16	72		coarse gravel	7		С	7½	irr	(L) DD 6 to 8 ft after 6 hrs pumping
23J1	McKeague, D. H.	240	Dr	20	-6			13.32		C	1/2		(S) Good supply.
23L1	Wallis, A. T.	245	Dg		24	20	sand & gravel	7	Summer, 51	Ÿ,	1/2	D	(L) No DD after pumping 7 gpm:
24B1	Sanford	200 321	Dr	45 48	6		gravel gravel	30 32		J	3/4 1/2	D,S D	
25E1 25G1	Jones, R. O. Livingston, W. W.		Dr Dg,		6.		fine sand	30		ľ	1	D	
2301	Livingscon, w. w.	127	Dr.	12	ľ		Time saild	50		"	1		
26C1	Roberts, C. B.	278	Dg	15	36		gravel	8		С	1/2	D	
26RI	King, C. W.	358	Dr		6	57	gravel	12	4-3-46	J	3	D,S, Irr	(L) DD 37 ft after 4 hrs pumping 37 gpm.
27A1	Bentz, K. A.	320	Dr '	74	6	74	gravel	65.20	8-9-60	J	1/2		(L) DD 2 ft after pumping 10 gpm. Rapid recovery.
27G1	Callis, John L.	475	Dr	67.9	8	64	"sandstone"	56.50	8-9-60	J	1/2	D	DD 1½ ft after 1 hr pumping 5 gpm.
							T. 30 N.,	R. 5 W.					
12D1	Galloway, Elmer	180	Dr	110	5	110	sand & gravel	79.75	7-20-60	J	3/4	D,S	Adequate supply.
12Hl	Jarvis, E. J.	145	Dr	76	6				7-22-60	J	1	D,S	Rapid recovery.
12L1	Dickinson, G.	190	Dr	102	6				7-22-60	J	1	D	Serves 2 houses.
12N1	Adolphsen, P.	115	Dg	4.0	36	4	sand	0.81		J	1/2		Probably spring fed.
13E1	Bailey, W. D.	246	Dr	20.1	8		sand	1.55	7-20-60	С	1/3	D,S	(S) Penetrates clay stratum. Has flowed.
13KI	Crain, Ray	308	Dg	4.5	24		sand	2.0	7-20-60	C	1/3	D,S	(S) Penetrates "hardpan."
	1		1 ,	į	,	ı	ŀ	l	1 .	I	1		I

	- ₁			-г		Table 3.	Records of w	ells C	ontinued			_	
				l	nes)	_		Wat	ter level	F	ump		
Well No.	Owner or tenant	AltItude (feet)	Type of well	Depth of well (feet)	Diameter of well (inches)	Depth of casing (feet)	Character of water-bearing material	Below land surface (feet)	Date	Type	Horsepower	Use of water	Remarks
	···			,	·		T. 31 N.,	R. 3 W.					-
18F1	Dungeness Light- house, U. S. Department of Commerce	5	Dr	667			sand & gravel	Flows	9-14 - 30				(L) Flowed 80 gpm. Later pumped 50 gpm. Not located on map.
30M1	Marshall, Ernest	8	Dr	48	6		gravel		7-22-60	С	1/2	P.S.	Pumps 27 gpm with small DD, Sand 45 ft; hardpan 3 ft; gravel bottom (restaurant).
							T. 31 N.,	R. 4W.				•	A STORY OF THE STO
25P1 26G1 26M1 26Q1 27Q1	Franzen, H. L. San Juan Farms Van Bibbler, N.O. Bigelow, E. C. Olson, C. L.	60 5 40 58 60	Dr Dr Dr Dg Dr	74 98 48.8 92 84.1	6 6 10 6		gravel sand sand & gravel	89	6-16-60 7-21-60 	7677	1 1/3 1/2 3/4	D D P.S. D	Flows 2-3 gpm. Hardness 126. (S) Serves tourist cabins.
34H1 34P1	Leach, L. W. Kinnaman, Jim	130 90	Dr Dr	126 90	6					P J	2	D D, Dairy	Iron staining; serve 2 families. Iron stain.
35A1 35J1	Clark, E. Beebe, Chas.	84 28	Dr Dr	90 65			gravel			j	1 3/4	D,S D,S	Good supply. Well buried in pasture.
35L1 36L1 36M1	Mapes, James Seamands, Mel	128 23 28	Dr Dn Dg	121.7 25 14.0	6 2 12	None	gravel sand		8-9-60 8-9-60	cc	1/4 1/2	D D,S D	Good supply.

4

Table 4. -- Well Logs.

Well-numbering system explained on pages 3 and 4. Terms are as reported by owner or driller except those in parentheses which are the writer's.

Materials	Thickness	
	(feet)	(feet)

Well 29/3W-2C1

Sequim State Park. Altitude about 170 feet. Drilled by J. P. Davidson.

Topsoil and gravel	20	20
Shale	58	78
Shale and gravel, water-bearing	$1\frac{1}{2}$	79⅓
Shale	30	108½
Shale and gravel, water-bearing	1	$109\frac{1}{2}$
Shale	249	358½
Shale with streak of black rock	109	467½
Black rock (probably weathering phenomenon of the shale)	25	492½
(Contains Tertiary marine fossils)		_

Perforated from 78 ft to 84 ft and 108 ft to 111 ft.

Well 29/3W-4D1

Otto H. Buyers. Altitude about 675 feet. Drilled by Stolcan, 1960.

Sandy brown clay	9	9
Gravelly blue clay	9	18
Medium boulders and clay	9	27
(Gravelly brown clay)	35	65
Sandy brown clay	55	120
(Medium-grained sand with rare gravel)	1	121

Bailed 8 gpm with $3\frac{1}{2}$ ft DD; SWL 112 ft.

0il Test Well 30/3W-5D1

Dalton-McInnes No. 2. Altitude about 20 feet. Drilled by Dan Dalton, 1947.

Well penetrates glacial drift only	 600	600

Well 30/3W-6R1

Nick Anginli. Altitude about 60 feet. Dug by owner.

Clay	10	10
Hardpan	8	18

Table 4. -- Well Logs. -- Continued

Materials	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well 30/3W-5D1 Continued	I	
Sand and gravel	4	22

Supply Inadequate; SWL 18 ft.

Shot-hole 30/3W-7A2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 55 feet.

Clay (soil and river silt)	5	5
Gravel	15	20

Shot-hole 30/3W-7C1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 100 feet.

		Γ
Clay (and silts?)	45	45
Sand and gravel	35	80

Well 30/3W-7D1

Bob Gaskell. Altitude about 102 feet. Drilled by Stoican, 1958.

Brown clay	33	33
Silty blue clay	19	52
Cemented blue clay with gravel	13	65
Hardpan	16	81
Sandy clay and gravel	18	99
Blue, sticky clay	25	124
Hardpan	2	126
Coarse sand and gravel	4	130

DD 10 ft after pumping 20 gpm; SWL 75 ft.

Shot-hole 30/3W-7D2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 108 feet.

Clay (and silts?)	58	58
Gravel	22	80

Materials	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)

Well 30/3W-7L1

J. T. Griffith. Altitude about 100 feet. Drilled by Stoican, 1960.

Brown, sticky clay	8	8
Medium to fine-grained sand	11	19
Brown, sandy clay		32
Coarse sand to fine gravel	7	39
Coarse gravel with sand	7	46

DD 2 ft after 2 hrs pumping 200 gpm; SWL 26.69 ft.

Shot-hole 30/3W-8C2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 42 feet.

Clay (soil and silt)Gravel	8 42	8 50

Shot-hole 30/3W-8J1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 116 feet.

Clay (soil and silt)	70	70
Gravel, water-bearing	20	90

Shot-hole 30/3W-8J2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 118 feet.

Clay (soil and silt)	20	20
Sand and gravel	65	85
Clay and gravel	35	120

Shot-hole 30/3W-8K1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 118 feet.

Clay (soil and silt)	20	1 20
Clay (Soli and Sitt)	20	
Gravel	75	95
Gravei	, ,	/-
Sand and clay	25	120
Sanu anu ciay	<u> </u>	1 120

Table 4. -- Well Logs. -- Continued

Materials	Thickness	
	(feet)	(feet)

Shot-hole 30/3W-8K2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 116 feet.

Clay (soil and silt)	10	10
	10	T O
Gravel and boulders	ደበ	an an
	00	70
Clay , sandy	חו	100
The state of the s		100

Shot-hole 30/3W-9Q1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about $102\ \text{feet}$.

Clay (soil and silt)	32	32
Gravel and clav		70
diaret and ordy	٥٥ـــ	//

Shot-hole 30/3W-15D1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 98 feet.

Sand and gravel	70	
Janu and graver	 70	70

Well 30/3W-15G1

Coulter and Scott. Altitude about 20 feet. Drilled by N. C. Jannsen Company.

Loose gravel	25	25
Gray clay	70	95
Blue clay	26	121
Gravel and boulders	57	178
Sand	17	195
Clay and gravel	105	300
Clay	60	360
Gravel and clay	10	370
Gravel and sand	15	385
Gravel and clay	21	406
Clay	59	465
Sand	10	475
Sandy clay	10	485
Clay	30	515
Small washed gravel		
Small washed graver	39	554

Materials	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well 30/3W-15G1 Continued		
Clay	20	574

Flows in excess of 100 gpm; perforations from 523 ft to 569 ft; SWL over 30 ft above land surface.

Oil Test Well 30/3W-17G1

Dungeness Unit No. 1. Altitude about 84 feet. Drilled by Standard Oil Co. of California, 1956.

Bottom of Pleistocene	2105
Bottom of Clallam conglomerate	3285
Bottom of Blakely Fm	4550
Bottom of Lincoln Fm	6363
Top of Eocene volcanics	6363
Depth of well	7493

Shot-hole 30/3W-19C1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 180 feet.

Gravel	65	65
Clay	5	70

Well 30/3W-20A1

Ed Blake. Altitude about 110 feet. Drilled by Van Ausdle, 1956.

Soil	12	12
Gravel	4	16
Hardpan		18
Fine sand	16	34

Pumps 10 gpm; SWL 11.56 ft.

Well 30/3W-20C1

T. J. Clayton. Altitude about 145 feet. Dug, 1949.

Grave	8	8
Hardpan (questionable)	46	54

Table 4. -- Well Logs. -- Continued

Materials Ti	hickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
--------------	--------------------	-----------------

Well 30/3W-20C1 -- Continued

Irrigates 40 acres; DD 10 ft after 4 hrs pumping 300 gpm; SWL 9 ft; well dimensions: 50 ft by $3\frac{1}{2}$ ft.

Well 30/3W-21K1

Fishel. Altitude about 162 ft. Drilled by Stoican.

Cemented gravel and clay	36	36
Hardpan	29	65
Coarse sand and gravel	29	94
Cemented gravel	8	102
Coarse sand and gravel with hard clay layers	9	111
Blue, cemented gravel	4	115
Coarse sand and gravel	2	117

No DD after pumping 4 hrs at 17 gpm; perforated from 103 to 116 ft; SWL 99 ft.

Well 30/3W-22N1

George Eberly. Altitude about 205 feet. Drilled by Stoican.

Cemented gravel	24	24
Clay, sand, and gravel	36	60
Small gravel	27	87
Gray clay	12	99
Cemented gravel	49	148
Sandy clay	6	154
Cemented gravel	3	157
Gray clay and cemented gravel layers	22	179

DD 15 ft after pumping 2 hrs at 5 gpm; SWL 150 ft.

Well 30/3W-27B1

Whitfield. Altitude about 50 feet. Drilled by Van Ausdle.

Topsoil	2	2
Hardpan, clay and gravel		46
Sand and gravel	io	56
Gravel	10	66

DD 12 ft after pumping 9 gpm; SWL 32-38 ft with tidal action.

Material	Thickness (feet)	Depti (feet
0il Test Well 30/3W-30Q1		
Dalton-Pettet No. 1. Altitude about 496 feet. Drilled	l by Dan Daite	on, 1948
Bottom of glacial drift (top of Tertlary)Finished in Blakely (?) Fm		1892 3619
One slight oil show. Artesian water flows at 256 and 8	300 ft.	
Shot-hole 30/4W-1C1		
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysica about 60 (?) feet.	al Surveys, Ir	nc. Altitu
Gravel and boulders	60	60
Shot-hole 30/4W-1F1		
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysica about 55 feet.		
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysica	30	30
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysica about 55 feet. Sand and clay	30	30
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysica about 55 feet. Sand and clayGravel and boulders	30 70	30 100
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysica about 55 feet. Sand and clay	30 70 al Surveys, II	30 100 nc. Altitu
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysica about 55 feet. Sand and clay	30 70 al Surveys, lu	30 100 nc. Altitu 29 75
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysica about 55 feet. Sand and clay	30 70 al Surveys, lu	30 100 nc. Altitu 29 75
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysica about 55 feet. Sand and clay	30 70 al Surveys, lu	30 100
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical about 55 feet. Sand and clay	30 70 al Surveys, lu 	30 100 nc. Altitu 29 75
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical about 55 feet. Sand and clay	30 70 al Surveys, lu 	30 100 nc. Altitu 29 75

DD 10 ft after pumping 40 gpm, rapid recovery; SWL 99 ft.

Table 4. -- Well Logs. -- Continued

Material	Thickness	Depth
*	(feet)	(feet)

Shot-hole 30/4W-1L1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 122 feet.

Gravel	80	80
4		

Shot-hole 30/4W-1L2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 118 (?) feet.

		
Sand and clay	60	60
Boulders and gravel	40	100

Shot-hole 30/4W-2D1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 63 feet.

Clay (soil and silt)	5	5
Gravel	35	- 40

Shot-hole 30/4W-2E1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 64 feet.

Clay (soil and silt)	10	10
Gravel	55	65
Blue clay		80
Clay with sand streaks	40	120_

Shot-hole 30/4W-2M2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 68 feet.

Gravel	55	55
Clay	15	70

**		
Material	Thickness	Depth
	(feet)	(feet)
	(1660)	(reec)

Shot-hole 30/4W-2M3

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, inc. Altitude about 72 feet.

Clay (soil and silt)	7	7
Gravel	56	63
Clay	72	135

Shot-hole 30/4W-2N1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 78 feet.

		
Clay (soil and silt)	6 .	6
Gravel	46	52
Clay	68	120

Well 30/4W-2P1

R. J. Mantle. Altitude about 82 feet. Memory log of owner.

Soil	7	7
Clay		6
5.)	-	0,1
Very-fine gravel	12	72

DD 4 ft after pumping 50 gpm; rapid recovery; SWL 5.16 ft.

Well 30/4W-8G1

Wm. H. Burdick. Altitude about 140 feet. Drilled by Stolcan, 1960.

Fine, brown sandy clay		7
Fine, brown sand and gravel		11
Gravel with clay	45	56
Blue, sticky clay	42	98
Coarse, dark sand and gravel	. 2	100
Cemented sand	4	104

SWL 59 ft.

Shot-hole 30/4W-8M1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude

Table 4. -- Well Logs. -- Continued

	Materials	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
--	-----------	---------------------	-----------------

Shot-hole 30/4W-8M1 -- Continued

about 156 feet.

·		
Clay (soil and silt)	18	18
		1 10
Sand and gravel	32	50
		,
Clav	20	70
· · · · ·	20	, , ,

Well 30/4W-8J1

Bud May. Altitude about 158 feet. Drilled by Stoican, 1960.

Open hole	15	ו ו
- F		1 10
(S t)	29	1 44
	~ /	77
Sandy brown clay	Q	52
Sand and gravel	3	56
3.4.4.		

DD 13 ft after pumping 17 gpm; SWL 38 ft.

Shot-hole 30/4W-9C2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 130 feet.

Clay, sandy (soil and silt)		40
Sand and gravel	30	70
Sand	30	100

Shot-hole 30/4W-9F1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 132 feet.

Clay (soll and silt)	15	15
• 1		ו דַּיַ
Sand	53	l 68
Grave!		1 25
		185
Sand and clay	20	105
	2.0	1 100

Shot-hole 30/4W-9F2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 134 feet.

Clay, sandy (soil and silt)	40	40
-----------------------------	----	----

Materials	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Shot-hole 30/4W-9F2 Continue	d	
Gravel and sand	20 40	60 100
Shot-hole 30/4W-9L1	•	
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical about 137 feet.	Surveys, I	nc. Altitude
Clay (soil and silt) Sand	10 23 37	10 33 70
Shot-hole 30/4W-9P1		
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical about 142 feet.	Surveys, I	nc. Altitude
Clay and sand (soil and silt)Sand and gravel	35 15	35 50
Shot-hole 30/4W-9P2		•
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical about 142 feet.	Surveys, l	nc. Altitude
Clay (soil and silt)Gravel	30 20	30 50
Shot-hole 30/4W-9R1		
Drilled for Standard Oll Co. of California by Geophysical about 130 feet.	Surveys, I	nc. Altitude
SandGravel and boulders	20 30	20 50
Shot-hole 30/4W-10QI		,
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical about 117 feet.	Surveys, I	nc. Altitude
Clay (soil and silt)	8	8

Table 4. -- Well Logs. -- Continued

Materials	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Shot-hole 30/4W-10Q1 Contin	ued	
Gravel	- 34	42
Sand	8	50

Shot-hole 30/4W-11D1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 90 feet.

Clay (soil and silt)	10	10
Gravel		60
Clay	58	118
Gravel	22	140

Shot-hole 30/4W-11D2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 92 feet.

Clay (soil)	6	6
Gravel	49	55
Clay	95	150

Shot-hole 30/4W-11E1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 99 feet.

Clay (soll)	6	6
		0
Grave	54	60
Sand with clay streaks	20	80
Blue clay	80	160

Shot-hole 30/4W-11L2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 110 feet.

|--|

Materials

Depth

(feet)

(feet)

50

100

	·	
Shot-hole 30/4W-11N1		
Drilled for Standard Oll Co. of California by Geophysical about 128 feet.	Surveys, Inc	. Altitud
Clay (soil and silt)	10 40	10 50
Shot-hole 30/4W-11P1		٠.
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical about 118 feet.	Surveys, inc	. Altitud
SandGravel and boulders	4 46	4 50
Shot-hole 30/4W-12C1		
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical about 123 feet.	Surveys, Inc	. Altitu
Sand and clay (soll and silts)Boulders and gravel	40 60	40 100
Shot-hole 30/4W-12C2		
Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical about 123 feet.	Surveys, Inc	c. Altitu
Clay (soil and silts)	50	50

Frank Krizo. Altitude about 115 feet. Dug by Jack Ruona, 1957.

Clay and gravel ---

-Soil	21/2	2 1
Gravel	11	131
Hardpan	?	13½

Well 30/4W-12M1

No DD after 10 hrs pumping 120 gpm; SWL 8 ft; Irrigates 19 acres.

Table 4. -- Well Logs. -- Continued

Materials	Thickness	
	(feet)	(feet)

· Well 30/4W-12Q1

Lester Robins. Altitude about 119 feet. Drilled by Stoican.

Soil	11/2	11
Brown, sticky clay with some boulders		12
Packed, brown sand and gravel		19
Sand and gravel, brown	4	23
Packed sand and gravel	2	25

DD 4 inches after 1 hr pumping 65 gpm: final 5 ft perforated; SWL 5.33 ft; Adjacent well irrigates 22 acres.

Shot-hole 30/4W-14D1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 135 feet.

Gravel	50	5	0

Shot-hole 30/4W-14D2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 147 feet.

Gravel	50	50

Shot-hole 30/4W-14E1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about $153\ \text{feet}$.

Gravel and boulders	46	46

Shot-hole 30/4W-14E2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 158 feet.

Gravel and boulders	50	50
	,	3.

Materials	Thickness	Depth
	(feet)	(feet)

Well 30/4W-14F1

Elmer E. Adams. Altitude about 155 feet. Dug well.

Clay loam	2	2
Soll and gravel	1	3
Clean gravel	27	30

DD 1 ft after 10 hrs pumping 100 gpm; recovers in 5 minutes; SWL 12 ft.

Shot-hole 30/4W-14K1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 175 feet.

Clay (soil)	5	5
Clay (5011)		
Gravel and boulders	75	ี ดด
diavel and boulders	, ,] 00

Shot-hole 30/4W-14M1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 170 feet.

Gravel	45	45
Sand	5	50

Shot-hole 30/4W-14Q1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 183 feet.

Gravel and boulders	40	40
Gravel	55	95
Clay	55	150
Oilly -		1

Shot-hole 30/4W-16C1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by $Geophysical\ Surveys$, Inc. Altitude about 145 feet.

Sand and clay	45	45
Gravel and sand		60
Clay	20	80

Table 4. -- Well Logs. -- Continued

	Materials	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
--	-----------	---------------------	-----------------

Shot-hole 30/4W-16F1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 138 feet.

Sand and clay with streaks of gravel	50	50

Shot-hole 30/4W-16F2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 156 feet.

Gravel	50	50

Shot-hole 30/4W-16L1

Drilled for Standard Oll Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 192 feet.

Gravel	50	50

Well 30/4W-17D1

Henry Simonson. Altitude about 200 feet. Dug and drilled; memory log of owner.

Soil	18	18
Clay, sand, boulderswater-bearing	43	61
Blue clay	18	79
Quicksand	67	146
Very coarse sand and granule gravel (sample)	?	at bottom

Very good supply; SWL 65 ft.

Well 30/4W-20C1

H. C. Fox. Altitude about 375 feet. Drilled by Mykol, 1947. Memory log of owner.

Soil	5	5
Hardpan	30	. 3 5
Uncemented sand and gravel	65	100
(Quick) fine sand		103
Gravel	4	107

Materials	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well 30/4W-20C1 Continued		
Clay-cemented sand and gravel	1	108

Yleids 5 gpm; has pumped dry; SWL 76 ft.

Well 30/4W-22J2

Stoican Drilling Company. Altitude about 238 feet. Drilled by Stoican, 1959.

Boulders and gravel		21
Brown, muddy sand12 gpm	2	23
Sticky, blue clay	42	65
Blue sandy clay	12	77
Brown sandy clay	35	112
Sandy brown gravel1800 gpm	3	115
Cemented brown sand	35	150

Good supply; SWL 81.64 ft.

Shot-hole 30/4W-23B1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 218 feet.

Grave	40	40
diare:		

Well 30/4W-23E1

Clarence N. Burton. Altitude about 238 feet. Dug, 1952.

Clay (soil)	4	4
Sand	2	6
Gravel, no water	2	.8
Coarse gravel	8	16

DD 6 to 8 ft after 6 hrs pumping 175 gpm; SWL 7 ft.

Shot-hole 30/4W-23G1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 240 feet.

	·· ₁	
Clay (soil and silt)		65 80

Table 4. -- Well Logs. -- Continued

Materials	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
	 	

Shot-hole 30/4W-23K1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 245 feet.

Clay (soil)	5	5
Gravel and boulders	25	30
Gravel	20	50

Well 30/4W-23L1

Albert T. Wallis. Altitude about 245 feet. Dug by owner, 1951.

Heavy gravel	7	1 7
num.y gimiu.	,	
Semi-hardnan gravel and clay	12	20
		20
Hardnan	2	20
, marapan	:	20
Semi-hardpan, gravel and clay	13	20 20

No DD after pumping 7 gpm; SWL 7 ft.

Shot-hole 30/4W-23P1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 260 feet.

Gravel and boulders	20	20

Well 30/4W-26R1

Chester W. King. Altitude about 358 feet. Drilled by Mykol, 1946.

Clay loam	6	6
Gravel with some water	4	10
Hardpan	22	32
Gravel	1	33
Hardpan	18	51
Gravel and water	6	57

DD 37 ft after pumping 4 hrs at 37 gpm; SWL 12 ft.

Well 30/4W-27A1

K. A. Bentz. Altitude about 320 feet. Drilled by Stoican, 1959.

Materials	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well 30/4W-27A1 Continued		,
Soil	3	3
Gravel with small boulders	15	18
Cemented gravel	7	25
Fine gravel with some water	2	27
Hardpan	12	39
Sand and gravel	30	69
Coarse gravel	5	74

DD 2 ft after pumping 10 gpm; rapid recovery; SWL 65.20 ft.

Well 31/3W-18F1

Dungeness Lighthouse. Altitude about 5 feet. Drilled by Jannsen, 1930.

Soil	6	6
Boulders		22
Coarse gravel		35
Boulders		42
Grave!		54
Fine gravel		58
Coarse gravel		66
Fine pea gravel	18	84
Gravel	38	123
Packed gravel		129
Gravel		
		138
Hard gravel	2	140
Hard-packed nigger heads	2	142
Gravel		150
Hard, fine gravel		155
Coarse gravel		157
Blue silt, sandy		169
Fine gravel		186
Coarse gravel		195
Grave!		199
Fine gravel	16	215
Gravel	6	221
Small gravel	11	232
Hard-packed gravel	24	256
Coarse gravel	10	266
Gravel		284'7"
Sand and gravel, salt		292'7"
Sand and fine gravel	10	302'7"

Table 4. -- Well Logs. -- Continued

Materials	Thickness (feet)	Depth (feet)
Well 31/3W-18F1 Continued		
Black sand and salt water	4	306'7
Fine black sand and salt	8'5"	315
Sand clay	1 -	324
Fine gravel mixed with clam shells	5	329
Blue clay		339
Blue clay compressed like rubber	20	359
Clay	6	. 365
Clay and boulders		383
Light blue clay	13	396
Clay and sand gravel	6 [402
Loose clay and gravel		406
Boulders and clay	20	426
Clay hardpan		446
Blue gumbo	7	453
Gray clay and rock	31 !	484
Sand and gravel	15	499
Coarse sand		520
Sand and clay streaks		538
Gravel, sand and clay	16	554
Sandy clay streaks of cement gravel	48	602
Hard cement gravel	16	618
Sand, gray clay and gravel	27	645
Sandy clay	4	649
Cement gravel, very hard	18	667

Originally flowed at 80 gpm; well not located on location map.

Oil Test Well 31/3W-31Q1

Dalton-Pettet No. 2. Altitude about 16 feet. Drilled by Dan Dalton, 1950.

Poor oil showings; bottom of Pleistocene (top of Tertiary) about 2900 ft.

Shot-hole 31/4W-25P2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 18 feet.

Clay, (soil)	3	3
Gravel	27	30
Clay	10	40

Materials	Thickness	Depth
	(feet)	(feet)
P. B		

Shot-hole 31/4W-25Q1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 20 feet.

	~	
Clay (soil)	5	5
•	-	, ,
Gravel	30	35
0.1-		
Clay	5	40
	_	1

Shot-hole 31/4W-25Q2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 19 feet.

Clay (soil)	5	5
	, ,	, ,
Grave	27	22
	, <i>-,</i>	52
Clay		40
0149		40

Shot-hole 31/4W-34Q1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 105 (?) feet.

Clay (soil and silt)	15	15
		1 2
Sand and gravel	70	85
Cemented sand and gravel	25	110
	ريع	110

Shot-hole 31/4W-36P1

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 32 feet.

Sand and clay	10	10
Gravel and boulders	40	50

Shot-hole 31/4W-36P2

Drilled for Standard Oil Co. of California by Geophysical Surveys, Inc. Altitude about 40 feet.

Sand	16 34	16 50
		t .

